UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,298	11/21/2003	Navroz Boghani	261088US0	2138
	7590 07/14/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			GEORGE, KONATA M	
ALEAANDKIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1616		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,298	BOGHANI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KONATA M. GEORGE	1616	

	KONATA M. GEORGE	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment to place the application in better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a constant the proposed amendment the pr	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		imaly filed amandman	t concoling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ii submilled in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicants argue that although the starting materials of t			
a determinative inquiry. It is "how" the active is encapsul found convincing. The claim is directed to a delivery system encapsulating material. The tensile strength is a function of Kitajima and Schobel teach the claimed encapsulating	ated and the properties of the "end tem comprising an active compone al limitation of the encapsulating m material, the tensile strength would	product" that are rele nt encapsulated within aterial. Since the pric	vant. This is not n an r art references
reminded that the claim is directed to a composition and 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).			
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	1 10/00/00/1 apel 110(3)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

7/05/08

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080702-A

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The double patenting rejection over copending applications 11/134,365; 11/134,367; 11/134,370; 11/134,371; 11/134,480 and 11/135,153..

Continuation of 13. Other: The double patetnig rejection of claims 1-37,47, 64-70 and 88-92 over claims 1-37, 47, 63-70 and 88-91 of copending application 11/083,968 is maintained for the reasons stated in the office action dated March 25, 2008.